

ECC Workshop 2016

An outage work planning under the weather uncertainties

(不確定条件下での作業系統計画について)

N. Yorino, *Y. Zoka (Electric Power & Energy System Lab.) (餘利野 直人, *造賀 芳文, 電力・エネルギー工学研究室)

> Hiroshima University (広島大学)

Table of Contents

- Overview of the current research (Hiroshima Univ.)
 - Our research works
- Outage works
 - What is the outage work?
- Problems to be appeared under uncertainties
 - Difficulties against weather conditions
- A solution for supporting system (IEEJ paper)
 - **•** Formulation
 - Simulation studies
- Summary



Overview of the current research (Hiroshima Univ. Team)

Outline of Research Themes (HU)

Fields	Groups	Themes/Keywords					
	Reliability analysis, evaluation (Y, S)	Robust stability & security, Feasible region, Uncertainty, PV + Battery					
	Transient stability analysis (Y, S)	Critical Trajectory, Computation efficiency, unbalanced fault					
Analysis, Evaluation	Reactive power evaluation (Y, S)	Deregulation, "Q" pricing, OPF					
	EV/PHEV (Z)	Frequency control, Uncertainty, Economic value evaluation					
	Frequency control analysis (Y)	Performance indices for LFC					
	Load forecasting (Z) Renewable-energy forecasting (Y, S)	/lin/Max Load forecast, continuous-updating regression V/WT output prediction, Uncertainty					
	Dynamic ELD / Stochastic OPF (Y, S)	Dynamic Economic Load Dispatch including PV/WT, Uncertainty					
Organistica	System stabilizing control (Z)	PSS, Robust control (Uncertainty), Support Vector Machine					
Control	Microgrid operation, control (Y, Z, S)	Demand-Supply Control, Plug-and-Play, Stabilization, Uncertainty					
	Autonomous distributed control (Y, Z)	Voltage control for distribution systems, PV, Multi-agent system, Uncertainty					
	Power electronics application (Y, Z, S) Inverter Design (Y, S) FACTS Controller Design (Y)	Quasi-synchronizing power invertors, FACTS design					
	OPF (O, Y) Optimal FACTS allocation (Y)	OPF for distribution system, Security-constrained OPF (SCOPF) Cost minimization, Load shedding, Voltage stability and Security					
Planning, Optimization	Outage-work planning (Z, O)	PV, Support system, Uncertainty					
optimization	Unit Commitment with RE (Y, S) Operation Planning	Including batteries, PV, forecast error, Uncertainty					

Treatment of Uncertainty







1. Robust Power System Security (RPSS)

> Robust stability under N-1 contingencies inside CI



Robust Static Security Region (RSS)

The Worst Case Max & Min inside RSS Region with Uncertainties

Measure of RSS Region



 \checkmark Power Flow Equations $F^{(n)}(u,p) = 0$ \checkmark Constraints $G^{(n)}(u,p) \le 0$, $n = 0,1, \cdots, N$ \checkmark Control Variables $\underline{u} \le u \le \overline{u}$ \checkmark Uncertainties in CI $\underline{p} \le p \le \overline{p}$

Definition of RRS



Robust Static Security region (RSS)

Robust Dynamically Reachable Security region (RRS) RRS : (RSS) + (Ramp Rate Constraints of u)



Robust Dynamic Analysis Example



Controllable parameter

> Generator outputs u=[G1, G2, G3, S]' $\alpha = c^{T}u$: Total Generation

Uncertainties

> CI for RE generations p=[RE1, RE2]'

Constraints for RRS : Linear

- > Demand and supply balance
- Generator output limits
- Power flow equation (DC power flow)
- Security limits of line flows
- Generator Ramp Rate Constraints
- Initial Operating Point at t=t0

Contingency

➤ 1 of 2 Lines Trip at A



Objective function

Test System

Results of RRS Evaluations

RRS analysis at 13:00 for 1 hour ahead $c^T = [1 1 ... 1]$ $c^T u = Total Generation$



Case 1

Case 2

Subject (2)



Transient Stability Analysis

Critical Trajectory Method





Critical waveform by methods A & B compared with conventional simulation method



Rotor angle of generator 1 for fault at point G

Critical waveform by methods A & B compared with conventional simulation method





Simulation Case for CT =0.272 [s] for fault at point G

Critical waveform by methods A & B compared with conventional simulation method



Simulation case for CT=0.273 [s] for fault at point G

Formulation of Critical Trajectory Method

Variables: CCT, $\mathcal{E}, x^0, ..., x^{m+1}$ Boundary conditions >Initial point Condition for x^0 >End point Conditions for x^{m+1} Trapezoidal Conditions for numerical integration Number of points (m): specified. (Typically m=10)



%Errors in CCT





CPU Time





Subject (3)



Demand & Supply Management (Micro-EMS Controller)

- **PV** Generation & Load Forecasts
- Operation Planning (Unit Commitment) using BT
- Computation of Dynamic Feasible Region
- Real Time Fast Economic Load Dispatch
- Stochastic Power Flow
- Frequency Control using BT, etc.





Demand & Supply Manager

Micro-EMS Controller



Stochastic Line Flow Model



Linear Line Flow Model

$$\boldsymbol{F} = \boldsymbol{S} \cdot \boldsymbol{P} \implies Cov[\boldsymbol{F}] = \boldsymbol{S} \cdot Cov[\boldsymbol{P}] \cdot \boldsymbol{S}^{T} = [\sigma_{ij}]$$

Node: $Cov(P) \rightarrow$ Line Flow: $Cov(F) \rightarrow$ Line Flow Limits Assumption: Normal distribution of RE Prediction Error



T . .

QP Problem to be solved every 5 minutes to update 1 hour Generation Schedule (GS).

minimize:

$$f = \sum_{t=t_1}^{T+t_1} f(t), \qquad t = t_1, \cdots, t_1 + T$$

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} \left(\frac{a_k}{2} P_k^2(t) + b_k P_k(t) + c_k \right)$$
(1)

subject to:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N_n} P_{Gk}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_n} E(P_{Dk}(t)), \quad D-S \text{ Balance} \quad (2)$$

$$\underline{\alpha}_{kt} \leq P_{Gk}(t) \leq \overline{\alpha}_{kt} \quad FOR \quad (3)$$

$$-\delta_k \leq P_{Gk}(t-1) - P_{Gk}(t) \leq \delta_k \quad Ramp \text{ rate} \quad (4)$$

$$-\overline{F}_l + \beta \sigma_{ll} + D_l(t) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} S_{lj} P_{Gj}(t) \leq \overline{F}_l - \beta \sigma_{ll} + D_l(t) \quad Network \quad (5)$$



The feasible region and dispatch value on weekday Oct. 19, 2016

TDF at 10:00 on weekday.

High PV penetration case





Daily Operation Simulation





An outage work planning under the weather uncertainties

IEEJ Transactions on Power and Energy, (to be published)

Outage Work?



Stable power supply

- Important mission of power systems
- □ Inspection, repair, reinforcement, ...
- $\Box \rightarrow Outage works are necessary$
- Outage work planning is to determine...
 - System configuration, work combination, work schedule, etc.
- In this study,

Regarded as the problem of system configuration







IEEJ Technical Report (Analysis Tech.)

IEEJ Technical Report

Hiroshima Univ.

Chugoku Electric Power Co.

- Universities
- Manufacturers
- Institutes
- Gas companies
- **Generation Co.**
- 10 Utilities

委員長	餘利野直人(広 島 大 学)
幹 事	佐々木 曉(中 国 電 力)
幹事補佐	造賀芳文(広島大学)
	杉内栄夫(中国電力)
委員	北 裕幸(北海道大学)
	横山明彦(東京大学)
	大山 力(横浜国立大学)
	三 島 裕 樹(函館工業高等専門学校)
	石 亀 篤 司(大 阪 府 立 大 学)
	林 泰弘(福 井 大 学)
	久保川淳司(広 島 工 業 大 学)
	宮内 肇(熊 本 大 学)
	林 秀樹(東 芝)
	澤 敏之(日 立 製 作 所)
	舟橋俊久(明 電 舎)
	塚本幸辰(三菱電機)

電力系統の利用を支える解析・運用技術調査専門委員

委

員	内	田	貢	市(三	菱 総	合	研 究	所)
	中	西	要	祐(富:	士電機	シ	ステム	ズ)
	緒	方	隆	雄(東	京		ガ	ス)
	持	田		正(エ	ネ		ツ	ト)
	兼	子	知	夫(新	日	本	石	油)
	吉	村	健	司(電	力 中	央	研 究	所)
	加	藤	和	男(電	源		開	発)
	久	保	雅	弘(北	海	道	電	力)
	威	分	富	行(東	北		電	力)
	岡	本		浩(東	京		電	力)
	清	水		学(中	部		電	力)
	棚	田		也(北	陸		電	力)
	吉	田	潔	史(関	西		電	力)
	池	田	祐	治(中	王		電	力)
	安	藤	和	正(四	王		電	力)
	平	田	裕	一(九	州		電	力)
	友	寄	真	宏(沖	縄		電	力)

Oct. 19, 2016

Typical actual workflow of utilities

(2.1.4)

系統運用

(2.2.4)

(年間)

(2.2.4)



(2.1.4)

需給運用

(重近年)

(2.2.4)

電源停止計画

(年間)

(2.2.4)

電力系統運用業務

Utilities

(2.1.3)

(2.1:3) (2.1:3)

需給計画(年間)

(2.1.3)

短期需要想定

(2.1.2)

需要想定(年間) (2.1.2)

需要想定(月間) (2.2.3)

至近数ヵ年

年間



Typical actual workflow of utilities



Flowchart (general)





Power supply = Stable



: Substation





Flow of the planning



*) Hamming Distance constraint: the number of switching from the normal system. **) N-2 supply failure power: the failed amount of power supply when simultaneous 2-lines outage occur.

Background



Outage work planning*





*) Outage work: temporal, partial stop for inspection, repair, etc. (not blackout)

An impact to outage work by PVs





Due to the over loads, feasible outage work systems are different depending on PV output.

New outage work planning taking into account PV output uncertainties is necessary.

Intersection of the candidates



If prepared as *sys*₂, the plan will be **feasible** even if any patterns of PV output occur.

A typical example





System model

Data

- Total load: 3,000MW
- **7** generators

- **1**09 nodes, 138 branches
 - Upper system: loop-based
 - Lower system: radial-based

Assumptions (example)

- PV assumption
 - Divided into 3 areas (A, B, C)
 - Same PV output states within the same area
 - PV Install conditions
 - PV installation types
 - Mega-solar : Roof-top PV = 1 : 1
 - Extrapolate for larger cases
 - Amount of installation
 - Mega-solar = actual data
 - Roof-top = based on household statistics

- Outage works
 - **Target = L57 (1 cct)**







The more PV penetration, the fewer feasible outage work system candidates due to overload line.



Finally, no outage work plan obtained because of no feasible solution.

Lost of feasible plan due to PVs

Feasible system candidates depending on PV output patterns and penetration rates



Problem to be solved

Problem

- No feasible outage work system obtained by PVs
 Mainly due to overload problem
- → An additional function to avoid overload conditions.

All weather feasible

PV installed *n* nodes $\rightarrow 2^n$ patterns* -

*) PV output is assumed 0% or 100% because the most severe cases must be considered.



If prepared as *sys*₂, the plan will be feasible even if any patterns of PV output occur.

Formulation (minimization of N-2)

Minimize the index: N-2 supply failure power (decision variables: x = facilities connection) Subject to: feasible for any PV output patterns

N-2 supply failure
$$A(x, y, p_k) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^{MC} \sum_{j=1}^{MC} \sum_{b=1}^{MB} \omega_{bij}(x, y) \bullet L_b(p_k)^{*1}$$

Objective function

N-2

$$\min A(x, y, p_1)$$

Subject to

$$\forall x, x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{2^n} X_F(k)^{*2}$$



*1 P_k : Load parameters for PV output pattern k

*2 $X_F(k)$: Feasible solution set for PV output pattern k

Find the system with minimum N-2 supply failure power when the weather is fine and feasible for any PV output patterns.



Overload cases

PV installed *n* nodes $\rightarrow 2^n$ patterns*

*) PV output is assumed 0% or 100% because the most severe cases must be considered.



If not obtained feasible solution, generator output adjustment (control) takes place and adopt it as additional candidates.

Formulation (G adjustment)

Minimize: amount of G adjustment (decision variables: G = output adjustment) Subject to: operation restrictions $\min \sum_{m=1}^{MP} \left(\left| G_m^+ \right| + \left| G_m^- \right| \right) \quad : \text{Amount of G adjustment}$ Objective function Constraints $-F_i^{\max} \le F_i \le F_i^{\max}$: Limits of line flows $\sum_{m=1}^{MP} (G_m^+ + G_m^-) = 0 \qquad : \text{Power balance}$ $P_m^{\min} \le P_m \le P_m^{\max}$: Limits of G outputs

> Determine the amount of G adjustment and its location for N-1 overload banishing.

Flowchart of the algorithm



Numerical simulations



- Data
 - Total load: 3,000MW
 - 109 nodes, 138 branches
 - (Same as before)

Case	Area A	Area B	Area C
1	1	1	1
2	1	1	0
3	1	0	1
4	0	1	1
5	1	0	0
6	0	1	0
7	0	0	1
8	0	0	0

- PV assumption
 - Divided into 3 areas (A, B, C)
 - PV Install conditions
 - (Same as before)
- Outage works
 - Target = L57 (1 cct)
 - Target = L30 (1 cct) ← overload case

Simulation results

All weather feasible

Obtained a solution feasible for all weather conditions

Shaded part of the table below

Covering all cases

		Ranking										
	1st			2nd			3rd			4th		
Case	off→on	on→off	Connection change	off→on	on→off	Connection change	off→on	on→off	Connection change	off→on	on→off	Connection change
1	L107	L29	L129(N13→N14)	L107	L29		L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L128(N14→N13)
2	L107	L29		L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L29	L131(N16→N17)
3	L107	L29		L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L29	L131(N16→N17)
4	L107	L29		L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L29	L131(N16→N17)
5	L107	L29		L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L29	L131(N16→N17)
6	L107	L29		L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L29	L131(N16→N17)
7	L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L30(N14→N13)	L110	L29		L107	L29	L30(N14→N13)
8	L107	L29	L127(N13→N14)	L107	L29	L30(N14→N13)	L110	L29		L110	L29	L131(N16→N17)

Simulation results

Overload case

- In Case 7 & 8, no feasible system obtained.
- $\square \rightarrow$ Additional G adjustment works well.
 - Shaded part of the table below

	Ranking											
	lst			2nd			3rd			4th		
Case	off→on	on→off	Connection change	off→on	on→off	Connection change	off→on	on→off	Connection change	off→on	on→off	Connection change
1	L107	L30	L129(N13→N14)	L107	L30		L107	L30	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L29	L131(N16→N17)
2	L107	L30		L107	L30	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L30	L131(N16→N17)	L107	L30	L132(N17→N16)
3	L107	L30		L107	L30	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L30	L131(N16→N17)	L107	L30	L132(N17→N16)
4	L107	L30		L107	L30	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L30	L131(N16→N17)	L107	L30	L132(N17→N16)
5	L107	L30		L107	L30	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L30	L131(N16→N17)	L107	L30	L132(N17→N16)
6	L107	L30		L107	L30	L128(N14→N13)	L107	L30	L131(N16→N17)	L107	L30	L132(N17→N16)
7	L107	L30										
8	L107	L30										

Conclusions



Summary

PV penetration affects outage work planning

It has been found out that the number of feasible outage work systems decreases depending on PV penetration.

A new method has been proposed

To avoid overload cases.

Future works

- PV penetration assumption should be brushed up.
- PV installation patterns should be analyzed more in detail.
- PV output classification (area) should be studied based on actual data.